

The path to smart farming: Profiling farmers' adoption of technologies in Türkiye

HUSEYİN TAYYAR GULDAL^{1*}, HASAN SANLI², METİN TURKER³

¹*Ankara University, Department of Agricultural Economics, Ankara, Türkiye*

²*Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, General Directorate of Agricultural Reform, Ankara, Türkiye*

³*Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, General Directorate of Agricultural Research and Policies, Ankara, Türkiye*

*Corresponding author: htguldal@ankara.edu.tr

Citation: Guldal H.T., Sanli H., and Turker M. (2025): The path to smart farming: Profiling farmers' adoption of technologies in Türkiye. *Agric. Econ.- Czech*, 71: 229–241.

The authors are fully responsible for both the content and the formal aspects of the electronic supplementary material. No editorial adjustments were made.

Electronic supplementary material (ESM)

Supplementary Tables S1–2

<https://doi.org/10.17221/401/2023-AGRICECON>

Table S1. MCA analysis results for active variables

Variables	Dim.1	Contribution	cos2	v.test	Dim.2	Contribution	cos2
Antalya	0.280	0.403	0.013	2.044	0.603	2.218	0.060
Erzurum	−0.538	2.300	0.081	−5.120	0.207	0.406	0.012
Konya	0.455	0.718	0.022	2.658	−0.162	0.109	0.003
Manisa	0.755	5.609	0.211	8.277	−0.449	2.352	0.075
Samsun	−1.098	7.281	0.240	−8.819	−0.011	0.001	0.000
Şanlıurfa	0.117	0.054	0.002	0.732	0.076	0.027	0.001
Female	1.151	2.522	0.073	4.868	1.021	2.351	0.057
Male	−0.064	0.139	0.073	−4.868	−0.056	0.130	0.057
> 55	−0.668	4.648	0.179	−7.615	0.013	0.002	0.000
18–25	1.339	0.803	0.022	2.691	1.175	0.734	0.017
26–35	1.079	5.602	0.177	7.583	0.627	2.247	0.060
36–45	0.453	2.024	0.076	4.972	−0.007	0.001	0.000
46–55	−0.304	1.004	0.039	−3.570	−0.333	1.429	0.047
HS	0.176	0.304	0.011	1.926	−0.134	0.211	0.007
P+S	−0.276	0.445	0.015	−2.172	0.051	0.018	0.000
Primary	−0.865	8.788	0.357	−10.753	−0.025	0.009	0.000
University	1.121	11.262	0.411	11.535	0.148	0.234	0.007
Both of them	−0.466	3.721	0.193	−7.913	−0.041	0.034	0.001
Livestock	0.040	0.003	0.000	0.158	2.204	9.674	0.235
Plant production	0.450	3.566	0.190	7.838	−0.171	0.608	0.027
< 5 ha	−0.001	0.000	0.000	−0.018	0.798	9.635	0.344
> 10 ha	0.082	0.105	0.005	1.281	−0.476	4.206	0.171
5–10 ha	−0.159	0.201	0.007	−1.515	−0.343	1.110	0.033
non agricultural income_N	−0.223	0.815	0.041	−3.640	0.036	0.025	0.001
non agricultural lincome_Y	0.184	0.673	0.041	3.640	−0.030	0.021	0.001
< 10 y	1.468	10.621	0.338	10.459	0.450	1.181	0.032
> 20 y	−0.480	5.755	0.504	−12.779	−0.154	0.699	0.052
10–20 y	0.732	3.480	0.116	6.142	0.250	0.480	0.014
insurance_N	−0.247	1.275	0.083	−5.172	0.447	4.952	0.270
insurance_Y	0.334	1.728	0.083	5.172	−0.605	6.710	0.270
inheritor_N	0.212	0.594	0.026	2.882	0.129	0.262	0.010
inheritor_Y	−0.121	0.339	0.026	−2.882	−0.074	0.149	0.010
< 1 years	0.432	0.733	0.023	2.704	−0.871	3.524	0.092
> 11 years	−0.244	0.631	0.025	−2.818	0.124	0.194	0.006
0	−0.094	0.069	0.002	−0.882	1.076	10.600	0.312
2–5 years	0.169	0.191	0.006	1.444	−0.684	3.730	0.106
6–10 years	0.067	0.033	0.001	0.606	−0.219	0.421	0.012
E	0.485	2.500	0.097	5.609	0.046	0.027	0.001
P	−0.200	1.032	0.097	−5.609	−0.019	0.011	0.001
credit_N	−0.028	0.015	0.001	−0.546	0.610	8.658	0.435
credit_Y	0.033	0.018	0.001	0.546	−0.712	10.101	0.435
landowner_N	0.570	1.236	0.038	3.506	1.109	5.551	0.144

<https://doi.org/10.17221/401/2023-AGRICECON>

Variables	Dim.1	Contribution	cos2	v.test	Dim.2	Contribution	cos2
landowner_Y	−0.067	0.144	0.038	−3.506	−0.130	0.649	0.144
info_N	−0.300	1.493	0.075	−4.942	0.328	2.117	0.090
info_Y	0.251	1.249	0.075	4.942	−0.274	1.770	0.090
spread_N	−1.005	3.505	0.106	−5.874	0.305	0.383	0.010
spread_Y	0.106	0.370	0.106	5.874	−0.032	0.040	0.010

MCA – Multiple Correspondence Analysis; 'Dim.1' and 'Dim.2' – 'Dimensions', indicating the aspects in which the variables are described; contribution – contributions of the variables to the definition of the dimensions; cos2 – quality of the representation for variables on the factor map; v.test – criterion with a normal distribution

Source: Own calculations

Table S2. Logit model results (expanded)

Variables	Coefficient	SE	Significance	Wald	95% Confidence interval	
					lower bound	upper bound
<i>Region</i>						
Antalya	0.643	0.502	0.200	1.640	−0.341	1.626
Erzurum	0.571	0.442	0.197	1.667	−0.296	1.437
Konya	0.448	0.535	0.402	0.702	−0.600	1.496
Manisa	0.955	0.443	0.031**	4.647	0.087	1.823
Samsun	1.700	0.487	0.000***	12.185	0.745	2.654
Şanlıurfa (reference)	−	−	−	−	−	−
<i>Gender</i>						
Male	−1.079	0.560	0.054*	3.708	−2.177	0.019
Female (reference)	−	−	−	−	−	−
<i>Age</i>						
18–35 ages	0.101	0.442	0.819	0.052	−0.766	0.968
36–45 ages	−0.491	0.343	0.153	2.046	−1.164	0.182
46–55 ages	0.228	0.309	0.460	0.546	−0.377	0.834
> 55 ages (reference)	−	−	−	−	−	−
<i>Education</i>						
Primary school	0.190	0.385	0.622	0.243	−0.565	0.944
Primary + secondary	−0.262	0.410	0.523	0.407	−1.065	0.542
High school	−0.126	0.360	0.726	0.123	−0.832	0.580
University (reference)	−	−	−	−	−	−
<i>Land</i>						
< 5 ha	0.192	0.312	0.537	0.380	−0.419	0.804
5–10 ha	0.423	0.311	0.174	1.851	−0.186	1.033
> 10 ha (reference)	−	−	−	−	−	−
<i>Landowner</i>						
Landowner_Y	−0.281	0.402	0.485	0.488	−1.069	0.507
Landowner_N (reference)	−	−	−	−	−	−
<i>Experience</i>						
< 10 y	0.020	0.448	0.965	0.002	−0.859	0.898
10–20 y	0.108	0.367	0.768	0.087	−0.610	0.827

<https://doi.org/10.17221/401/2023-AGRICECON>

Variables	Coefficient	SE	Significance	Wald	95% Confidence interval	
					lower bound	upper bound
> 20 y (reference)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Insurance</i>						
Insurance_Y	–0.551	0.284	0.052*	3.762	–1.107	0.006
Insurance_N (reference)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Info</i>						
Info_Y	–0.542	0.248	0.029**	4.790	–1.028	–0.057
Info_N (reference)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Spread</i>						
Spread_Y	–1.442	0.440	0.001***	10.722	–2.305	–0.579
Spread_N (reference)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Tractor</i>						
0	0.833	0.356	0.019**	5.493	0.136	1.530
< 1 years	–0.150	0.441	0.116	0.116	–1.015	0.715
2–5 years	–1.136	0.363	0.002***	9.816	–1.846	–0.425
6–10 years	0.312	0.339	0.358	0.845	–0.353	0.977
> 11 years (reference)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Credit</i>						
Credit_Y	–0.674	0.275	0.014**	6.000	–1.214	–0.135
Credit_N (reference)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<i>Level of significance</i>						
–2 Log Likelihood	531.828					
Nagelkerke R^2	0.358					

*, **, *** $P < 0.1$; 0.05; 0.01; SE – standard error